

Abriska is access online via <u>https://www.abriska.com</u>, your username is your email address and your password will have been sent via email.

URMA RISK MANAGEMENT		Getting the balance right
Home Products URM		
Abriska - URM's Risk M Through URM's information security and associated with complying or certifying to assessment and business impact analysis.	lanagement Tool business continuity engagements, URM h ISO 27001 or ISO 22301. Abriska focuses	ias developed Abriska, a modular web based product to assist with the activities : on the 'Plan' phase of the PDCA cycle for both Standards, this covers the risk
Login Credentials Username * Password *	Submit	Abriska Cookie Use Cookies are small text files which don't contain any sensitive data, but are used within Abriska to store a unique reference which allows a session to be maintained until the user logs out. If these were to be disabled, it would prevent a user from logging in.
)	For further information about cookies please see http://www.aboutcookies.org

Upon Logging in you'll be presented with a screen that looks like this:

Organisation	Logo
<u>Forum</u>	
Home	
Logout	Example Support Organisation (IS)
Profile	Example Support organisation (15)
Notifications	Select an option from the sidebar.
View Entities	
View Owned Controls	
View Resources	
View Risks	
View Risk Actions	

Click on View Owner Controls, highlighted orange above.



Organisation Log	go		
Forum			
Contact Division			
Return to Home	Divisions		
	Division Name	Number of Controls	Available Actions
	Evennle Sunnart Organisation (IS)	7	Example Support Organisation (IS) Control Assessment
	Example Support Organisation (iS)	r	Example Support Organisation (IS) Control Risk Strategies

The above page displays the two options that are available for controls. Initially, click on the ".... Control Assessment" button.

Example Support Organisation (IS) - Controls

Control Group 🔶	Control Reference	Control Name \$	0wner‡	Maturity Defined and Justified \$	Proposed Maturity Defined and Justified
ISO 27001	5.1.1	Policies for information security	Basic User	1:Initial/Ad Hoc	4:Managed and Measurable
ISO 27001	5.1.2	Review of the policies for information security	Basic User	3:Defined Process	4:Managed and Measurable
ISO 27001	6.1.1	Information security roles and responsibilities	Basic User	2:Repeatable but Intuitive	4:Managed and Measurable
ISO 27001	6.1.2	Segregation of duties	Basic User	1:Initial/Ad Hoc	4:Managed and Measurable
ISO 27001	6.1.3	Contact with authorities	Basic User	2:Repeatable but Intuitive	4:Managed and Measurable
			Basic	4 Managed and Measurable	4:Managed and Measurable

This will display a list of controls which need to be reviewed. Some controls may have a coloured indicator next to them, which is explained by a key on the right of the screen (not displayed above). Click on the control name to review and edit this item. If there are any controls which you believe you do not own please contact your organisation administrator.



.1: Polici	es for	information	secur	itv		Controls Navigation
ription: A set o	f policies fo	or information security	/ shall be de	fined, appro	wed by management, published and communicated to employees and relevant external p	barties. 5.1.2 >
urrent Impleme	ntation	Recommendation	History	Graph	Documents	Save
Current Impl	ementatio	n				
Describe the cu	irrent imple	ementation of this con	trol then sta	te the matu	ity of this control at present.	
Current Imple	mentation	A policy has been	drafted but	not yet sigr	ned off or published.	
Answered By		User 1				
Answered Dat	e	26 Feb 2014 21:39:4	46:223			
Maturity Name	Descripti	ion			ſ	
0:Non- existent	Complete	lack of any recognisa	able process	es. The orga	nisation has not even recognised that there is an issue to be addressed.	•
1:Initial/Ad Hoc	There is e processes	vidence that the orga ; instead, there are ac	nisation has I hoc approa	recognised aches that te	that the issues exist and need to be addressed. There are, however, no standardised and to be applied on an individual or case-by-case basis.	•
2:Repeatable but Intuitive	Processes training o knowledg	have developed to the r communication of sl ge of individuals and, l	ne stage whe andard pro therefore, er	ere similar pi cedures, ani rrors are like	ocedures are followed by different people undertaking the same task. There is no form I responsibility is left to the individual. There is a high degree of reliance on the ly.	•
3:Defined Process	Procedure followed; existing pr	es have been standar however, it is unlikel; ractices.	dised and do y that deviat	ocumented, ions will be	and communicated through training. It is mandated that these processes should be detected. The procedures themselves are not sophisticated but are the formalisation of	•
4:Managed	Managem Processes	ent monitors and mea are under constant ir	isures compl nprovement	iance with p and provid	rocedures and takes action where processes appear not to be working effectively. e good practice. Automation and tools are used in a limited or fragmented way.	•
Measurable						

Describe how the control is currently implemented within the 'current implementation' tab and evaluate the current maturity (select the current maturity using the radio button to the right of the page, highlighted orange above). If there are any recommendations for how this control can be improved, click the 'recommendation' tab and a similar page will display.

Current Implementation	Recommendation History Gr
Recommended Improver	ment
Describe a proposed improv implemented.	vement for this control then state the
Recommendation	SMT to sign off and publish.
Proposed Implementation Date	30/04/2014

Additionally a proposed implementation date can be recorded for when this recommendation can be implemented. An email reminder will be sent out ahead of this date and also once this date has passed. Once all controls are assessed, navigate back to the view owned controls (shown at the top of page 2 of this guide).



Click on the ".... Control Risk Strategies" button. This time all of the controls will display, however they will be in a priority order based on the interrelationships within Abriska.

Example Support Organisation (IS) - Controls

Below are all of the controls that have been assessed within this division. The risk scores are the maximum current risk associated with controls. A risk strategy can be selected for each risk that is identified.

Controls	Controls G	raph Control C	verview	Risk History	Threats	Reso	urces	_	
Below ar this cont	re all of the cont rol. Click on a co	rols that are assoc ontrol to see furthe	iated with t r detail. Cli	this division, the o ick on a risk type	coloured indic on the right t	ator sho o edit al	iws the I of the -	maximum risk associated cor	associated with htrols.
\$	Control Group\$	Control Reference	Contro	ol Name		\$	Max Ris	sk Indicator 🖨	Risk Strategy‡
	SO 27001	5.1.1	Policies	Policies for information security			18.5	-	
	SO 27001	6.1.2	Segreg	Segregation of duties			18.5	-	
	SO 27001	6.1.1	Informa	tion security roles ar	nd responsibilitie	15		15.5	-
	SO 27001	6.1.3	Contact	with authorities				15.5	-
	SO 27001	6.1.5	Informa	tion security in proje	ct management			15.5	-
	00.07004	64.0	Deview			.a		44	

Click on each control name in turn:

	Inherent	Controlled	Treated
Max Risk Score	20	18.5	7.25
Maturity Level	0:Non-existent	1:Initial/Ad Hoc	4:Managed and Measurable
Maturity Description	Complete lack of any recognisable processes. The organisation has not even recognised that there is an issue to be addressed.	A policy has been drafted but not yet signed off or published. Last updated by: User 1 @ 26 Feb 2014 21:39:46:223	Proposed Date:30 Apr 2014 00:00:00:000 SMT to sign off and publish. Last updated by: User 1 @ 26 Feb 2014 21:39:46:223

Risk Strategy		
Risk Treatment Decision*	Retain 🔻	
Risk Treatment Owner*	Basic User 🔹	
Risk Review Date*		
Submit		
Risk Actions		
There is no treatment action	n associated with this risk.	
Ne	w Action	Resolved Actions

Abriska displays three levels of risk:

- Inherent/Absolute the risk if no controls were in place
- Controlled/Current the current level of risk
- Treated/Residual the estimated level of risk should improvements be made to the controls.



The risk treatment decision, next review date and owner should be selected and the results of this submitted, highlighted orange above.

If individuals or teams are required to perform actions to enable this risk treatment to be effectively implemented, the actions can be created, tracked and managed through Abriska.

New Act	ion	Resolved Actions		
ew Risk Action Setup				
Risk Treatment Action				
		1		
		1		
Action Owner	•			
Proposed Date				

Additional details about other functionality is available within the online user guide within the top right of Abriska (highlight orange below).

